

# NMASKING THE COSMIC FINGERPRINT: DETECTING POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS IN PLANETARY ATMOSPHERES



Rosa Arenales-Lope<sup>1,2</sup>, Karan Molaverdikhani<sup>1,2</sup>, Dwaipayan Dubey<sup>1,2</sup>, Barbara Ercolano<sup>1,2</sup>, Fabian Grübel<sup>1,2</sup> and Christian Rab<sup>1,3</sup>

- 1. Universitäts-Sternwarte, Fakultät für Physik, Ludwigs-Maximilians-Universität München, Scheinerstr.1, D 81679 München, Germany
- 2. Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, Boltzmannstr 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany
- 3. Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

### INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a large group of organic compounds, made up of two or more benzene rings



Benzene Naphthalene

Circumcoronene



An optical slope is present around 0.6

## 01. METHODOLOGY: FORWARD MODEL + RETRIEVAL

(i) petitCODE<sup>3</sup> for generating nine self-consistent models to calculate atmospheric abundances and temperature structure.

(ii) petitRADTRANS<sup>4</sup>(pRT) to model the transmission spectra based on these forward models.



Poster round 3 – 20/06/2024 08:30 - 17:50 hrs.

Input parameters from the synthetic spectra

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value		
Temp	800, 1200, 1600K	f <sub>sed</sub>	2.0		
R <sub>P</sub>	0.83R <sub>Jup</sub>	$\sigma_g$	1.05		
C/O	0.3, 0.55, 1.0	$\log(g) (cms^{-2})$	3.02		
[Fe/H]	0	log(P/bar)	-2		
$\log(X_{PAH})$	-5, -6, -7				
remp $R_P$ C/O [Fe/H] $\log(X_{PAH})$	800, 1200, 1600K 0.83R <sub>Jup</sub> 0.3, 0.55, 1.0 0 -5, -6, -7	T <sub>sed</sub> σ <sub>g</sub> log(g) (cms <sup>-2</sup> ) log(P/bar)	2.0 1.05 3.02 -2		

(iv) pRT to retrieve the atmospheric abundances from these simulated observations and evaluate the potential

Emission at longer wavelengths Contaminated by silicate emission At 3.3 µm: Most prominent spectral Contaminent spectral

- Important role in the thermo-chemo-dynamical evolution of gas atmospheres<sup>1,2</sup>
- High photoelectric yield
  - Extremely efficient at converting incoming far-ultraviolet radiation (FUV) into heating
  - Having a strong influence on atmospheric loss rates<sup>2,3</sup>
  - Emit fluorescent radiation in infrared
- Pre-biotic chemical reactions, leading to more complex molecules<sup>4</sup> (amino acids and nucleotides)

detectability of PAHs. We run three retrieval models with PAH (**PAH-included models**) and three retrieval models without PAH (baseline models). Total number of retrievals:  $27 \times (3 + 3) = 162$ 

(iv) To assess the detectability of PAHs in each of the 27 forward models, we run retrievals and compare the results of the **three baseline models** with their corresponding PAH-included models. This involves calculating **Bayes factors** to perform model selection and determine if PAHs are favored for each forward mode.

Model	Baseline	Extra parameters	
1	Cloud-free	-	PAHs 2000
2	Power-law Cloud	$\kappa_0, \gamma_{scat}$	
3	Power-law and Grey cloud	$\kappa_0, \gamma_{scat}, Pcloud$	Circumcoronene

#### 02. **RESULTS**:



**Detection at 800K**:

PAHs detected at 1- $\sigma$  confidence for abundances of  $10^{-5}$ and  $10^{-6}$ , with detection probabilities of 8.89 $\sigma$  and 4.59 $\sigma$ , respectively.



Detection at 1200K:

PAHs detectable at C/O ratios of 0.3 and 0.55 down to  $10^{-7}$  and for C/O=1.0, at  $10^{-5}$  PAH abundance at high confidence (>5 $\sigma$ )

tections (> 3.6 $\sigma$ ) of PAHs down to		T=800K			T=1200K			T=1600K			
=800K and $10^{-5}$ for 1200K tions for T=1600K	log X <sub>PAH</sub> C/O		-5	-6	-7	-5	-6	-7	-5	-6	-7
	0.3	Model 1	$8.89\sigma$	$4.59\sigma$	$0.93\sigma$	$15.95\sigma$	$10.14\sigma$	6.14 $\sigma$	$1.58\sigma$	$0.90\sigma$	$0.90\sigma$
etections (> 3.6 $\sigma$ ) of PAHs down to		Model 2	8.96 <i>0</i>	$4.70\sigma$	N/A	15.94 $\sigma$	$10.21\sigma$	$6.24\sigma$	N/A	N/A	N/A
= 800 and 1600K and 10 <sup>-7</sup> for		Model 3	7.64 $\sigma$	$4.59\sigma$	N/A	$15.34\sigma$	9.79 <i>o</i>	6.16 <i>0</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
coo and rooon, and ro ror	0.55	Model 1	$8.92\sigma$	$4.65\sigma$	$0.93\sigma$	$10.30\sigma$	$6.85\sigma$	$5.12\sigma$	12.61 $\sigma$	8.01 <i>o</i>	$6.47\sigma$
etections (> 3.6 $\sigma$ ) of hazes down		Model 2	9.39 $\sigma$	$4.70\sigma$	N/A	10.34 $\sigma$	$6.72\sigma$	$5.06\sigma$	$15.27\sigma$	$7.98\sigma$	$6.66\sigma$
· 1600K		Model 3	$8.83\sigma$	$4.49\sigma$	N/A	10.36 $\sigma$	6.93 <i>o</i>	$5.02\sigma$	6.61 <i>o</i>	$1.67\sigma$	$0.96\sigma$
TOOON	1.0	Model 1	9.56 $\sigma$	$0.98\sigma$	$1.22\sigma$	$11.22\sigma$	$1.96\sigma$	1.16 <i>0</i>	9.52 <i>o</i>	$7.59\sigma$	$1.02\sigma$
tactions $(> 3.6\sigma)$ of PAUs down to		Model 2	9.54 $\sigma$	N/A	N/A	$11.25\sigma$	N/A	N/A	11.60 <i>o</i>	$6.44\sigma$	N/A
$T = 800$ and $1200$ k and to $10^{-6}$ for		Model 3	$8.24\sigma$	N/A	N/A	7.07	N/A	N/A	11.60 <i>o</i>	$5.87\sigma$	N/A
$1-800$ and $1200$ h, and to $10^{\circ}$ for											

### **14. CONCLUSION**

U We have investigated the detectability of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) across a diverse range of exoplanet atmospheres, considering variations in atmospheric composition.

U We have explored the influence of three crucial parameters: atmospheric temperature, C/O ratio (carbon-to-oxygen ratio), and the presence of clouds, on the detectability of PAHs.

First, we have created observation simulations of exoplanet atmospheres, employing JWST's NIRSpec instrument and the PandExo package. Finally, we have employed the retrieval package pRT to analyse and retrieve atmospheric properties, comparing the retrieved parameters with the model input and the bayes factor to assess its detectability.

- PAH detectability with optimal conditions found around 1200 K. Also, specific C/O ratios of 0.3 and 0.55 enhance the detectability of PAHs, with consistent detections observed under these conditions.
- Overall, our findings suggest that PAHs are most likely to be detected in exoplanetary atmospheres with temperatures around 1200 K and C/O ratios of 0.3 and 0.55, highlighting these conditions as prime candidates for future observational campaigns with JWST.

#### References:

- 1. Gorti U., Dullemond C. P., Hollenbach D., 2009, ApJ, 705, 1237
- 2. Ercolano B., Rab C., Molaverdikhani K., Edwards B., Preibisch T., Testi L., Kamp I., Thi W.-F., 2022, Astronomy & Astrophysics
- 8. Mollière P., van Boekel R., Dullemond C., Henning T., Mordasini C., 2015, The Astrophysical Journal, 813, 47
- 4. Mollière P., Wardenier J., van Boekel R., et al., 2019, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 627, A67
- 5. Batalha N. E., Mandell A., Pontoppidan K., et al., 2017, Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 129, 064501

#### CONTACT



#### rarenales@usm.lmu.de



