AUTHORS M. Fournier-Tondreau^{1, 2}, R. J. MacDonald³, D. Lafrenière¹, M. Radica¹, K. Morel¹, L.-P. Coulombe¹, L. Welbanks⁴, C. Piaulet¹, R. Allart¹, O. Lim¹, É. Artigau¹, L. Albert¹, R. Doyon¹, B. Benneke¹, J. F. Rowe⁵, A. Darveau-Bernier¹, et al.

AFFILIATIONS ¹iREx at Université de Montréal, ²University of Oxford, ³University of Michigan, ⁴Arizona State University, ⁵Bishop's University

Disentangling Planetary and Stellar Features of Transits in the Era of JWST

Near-Infrared Transmission Spectroscopy of HAT-P-18 b and WASP-52 b with NIRISS/SOSS



NEW APPROACH FOR THE LIGHT CURVE FITTING

3.

4.

5.

In the single-step approach, all spectral light curves are simultaneously and jointly fit wavelengthboth using dependent and wavelengthindependent parameters. We used the two approaches for WASP-52 b and found a similar solution for the second spot, but two different solutions for the first spot. The retrieved transmission spectra with both consistent approaches are within 1 σ.



OXFORD

Fonds de recherche – Nature et technologie

Université m

de Montréal

AREY

INTRODUCTION

Active K dwarfs such as

HAT-P-18 and WASP-52 are known to possess surface heterogeneities (i.e., spots and faculae), which can introduce spurious spectral features in transmission spectra through the *transit light source effect*¹ (TLSE).

OBSERVATIONS

The JWST ERO program included a transit of the Saturn-mass warm exoplanet HAT-P-18 b, while the transit of the inflated hot Jupiter WASP-52 b was part of the NIRISS team GTO both program, observed from 0.6–2.8 µm using NIRISS/ SOSS. Spotcrossing events are clearly present in the light curves.

We aim to disentangle stellar and planetary atmosphere signals by including stellar heterogeneities in transit light curve fits and atmospheric retrievals.

METHODOLOGY

We employ *spotrod*² for the transit model. We use different treatments (e.g., spots only, spots faculae) for the and TLSE in the retrievals. We also implement new considerations model designed to fit the local surface gravity of stellar heterogeneities, thereby attempting to capture



Figure 2. Modelling of the spot-crossings with the standard approach for WASP-52 b.

Approach	Standard Spot	Single-step t 1	Standard Spor	Single-step t 2	
x _{spot} [R _*]	-0.283 ± 0.009	-0.20 ± 0.01	0.372 ± 0.009	0.37 ± 0.01	
y _{spot} [R _*]	0.33 ± 0.07	0.86 ± 0.02	$0.47 \begin{array}{c} +0.02 \\ -0.04 \end{array}$	0.47 ± 0.01	Table 1. Best- fitting spots'
R _{spot} [R _*]	0.19 ± 0.06	0.23 ± 0.02	$0.08 \begin{array}{c} +0.04 \\ -0.02 \end{array}$	0.07 ± 0.01	parameters for WASP-52
T _{spot} [K]	4670 ± 20	4000 ± 200	4590 ± 20	4540 ⁺⁷⁰ ₋₉₀	with the two approaches.



the effects of magnetic pressure.³

2.

STANDARD LIGHT CURVE FITTING & SPOT-CROSSING MODELLING

We use a standard twostep approach. First, a transit model is fitted to a white light curve to infer the values of the model parameters that should be independent of wavelength (e.g., impact parameter, spot's position and radius). Then, with held those parameters fixed, a transit model is fitted successively to the spectroscopic light curves infer the values of to wavelength-dependent Figure 1. Modelling of the spot-crossing event parameters (e.g., transit depth, spot contrast).



x [stellar radii]

with the standard approach for HAT-P-18 b.

Wavelength (μ m)

Figure 3. Transmission spectra retrieved with the two approaches for WASP-52 b.

RETRIEVAL ANALYSIS

results highlight the exceptional promise of Our simultaneous planetary atmosphere and stellar heterogeneity constraints in the era of JWST and emphasize the risk of biasing atmospheric inferences when joint retrievals are not performed.



We found for HAT-P-18 b that the spot's y-position is not well constrained, and a degeneracy between the spot's yposition, radius and temperature leads to four slightly different solutions. As the spot's position and radius can show different spectral dependencies, the wide-bandpass averaging inherent to the white light curve might bias the values found for the achromatic parameters, which are retained for the chromatic fits. That appears to be the case based on testing with synthetic light curves.

Figure 4. Atmospheric retrieval results for HAT-P-18 b. $f_{fac} = 0.38^{+0.08}_{-0.15}$ $f_{spot} = 0.12^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ $T_{phot} = 4840^{+50}_{-51}$ $T_{fac} = 4952^{+62}_{-64}$ $T_{spot} = 4045^{+162}_{-116}$ 5200 5600 0.4 0.00.2 0.4 3600 4000 4400 4800 4600 4800 5000 4800 $\log g_{fac} = 4.93^{+0.05}_{-0.08}$ $\log g_{spot} = 3.41^{+0.39}_{-0.25}$ $\log g_{phot} = 4.56^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ Figure 5. Unocculted stellar heterogeneity properties for the best-fitting model (i.e., spots and faculae with free $\log g$) for HAT-P-18. 3.2 3.6 4.0 4.4 4.8 4.4 4.5 4.6 4. 4.84.04.4

CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrate that JWST transit observations may warrant more complex treatments of stellar heterogeneities in both transit light curves and transmission spectra.

REFERENCE ¹Benjamin V. Rackham et al 2018 ApJ 853 122, ²Bence Béky et al 2014 MNRAS 442 4, ³Giovanni Bruno et al 2022 MNRAS 509 4